

### REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendment, the only claim remaining in the application is new claim 21.

It is respectfully submitted that the 35 USC Section 112 rejections of the original, now-cancelled claims 1-20 have been avoided and or/eliminated by the sole remaining new claim 21.

With regard to the claim recitation “at least one predetermined part of said toy”, and the Examiner’s comment that it is not clear what would constitute a “predetermined part”, attention is respectfully directed to the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification which states: “The present invention preferably, but not necessarily, may also provide a novel interactive toy system as described hereinabove which also includes means for moving at least one predetermined part of said toy, such as, for example, the mouth and/or eyes of said toy, substantially in synchronism with said first audio input”.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the 35 USC Section 112 rejections of the original, now-cancelled claims 1-20 have been avoided in the new sole independent claim 21.


It is respectfully submitted that the new claim 21 is not anticipated by Lang. For example, Lang fails to disclose “first means remote from said toy for transmitting first electronic signals carrying first audio input from a first source remotely located relative to said toy, for receiving second electronic signals carrying second audio input from a second source located proximal to said toy transmitted from said toy, and for deriving from said second electronic signal said second audio input”, as required by new claim 21.

It is respectfully submitted that the new claim 21 is not anticipated by Lang.

Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in condition for allowance, and a notice to this effect is earnestly solicited. In the event that the Examiner believes that the application is not in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned attorney for applicant in an effort to facilitate the prosecution, and/or to narrow the issues for appeal, if necessary.

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,




Irving M. Weiner (Reg. 22168)  
Attorney for Applicant  
989 724-7400

Date: March 11, 2003  
Weiner & Burt, P.C.  
635 N. US-23  
P.O. Box 186  
Harrisville, MI 48740

**Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that the foregoing amendment was sent to Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, as first class mail on March 11, 2003.



Irving M. Weiner